

REMARKS

Claims 1-5 and 9-24 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 4 and 16 are amended to better distinguish over the applied art, and claims 1-5, 16, 18 and 19 are amended for clarification. Claim 23 is canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter therein. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The Office Action objects to claims 1, 3-5, 16, 18, 19 and 23 because the Examiner argues that the mechanical time-watch "is not totally mechanical due to the usage of a power driven source and circuitry involved." Claims 1-5, 16, 18, 19 and 23 are amended to recite an analog watch. Thus, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-4, 11, 15, 16 and 20-22 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Baroche (U.S. Patent No. 4,985,878) in view of Sekiya (U.S. Patent No. 4,246,602); claims 5 and 17-19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Baroche and Pikula (U.S. Patent No. 6,269,055); claims 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Baroche, Yamada I (U.S. Patent No. 5,475,653) and alleged admitted prior art; claims 12-14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Baroche and Okeya (U.S. Patent No. 6,424,600); and claims 23 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Baroche and Yamada II (U.S. Patent No. 4,985,878). Claim 23 is canceled. Thus, the rejection of this claim is moot. However, the rejections as applied to the remaining claims are respectfully traversed.

In particular, the applied references do not disclose or suggest a portable two-way wireless communications device, including at least a first correcting section provided at a movement of an analog watch and a second correcting section provided at a circuit board that controls the two-way wireless communications device, wherein the first correcting section and the second correcting section are connected with each other for correcting a time indicated on the display when a time of the analog watch is corrected, the time indicated on

the display is automatically corrected in synchronization with the correcting action, as recited in independent claim 1, and similarly recited in independent claims 2, 4, and 16.

Specifically, Sekiya discloses an electronic time piece having both a digital type of time indication (by an electro-optical display) and an analog time indication (with time hands) whereby both the analog and digital time indicating displays can be set to identical initial values of time and can be advanced in synchronism. See column 1, lines 4-11.

Baroche discloses that an electronic device can consist, for example, of a calculator, a telephone or any other means of radio-communication. See col. 2, lines 54-55.

Pikula discloses a radio-control clock movement for use in a radio-control clock which is synchronized with timing information supplied by a broadcast time signal.

Yamada I discloses a paging receiver as part of an analog wristwatch. The analog wristwatch does not include a transmitter for transmitting information from the analog wristwatch.

Okeya discloses a portable electronic device that includes a power generating device that performs power generation by converting electrical energy.

Yamada II discloses a case member coupled to a plate member on an electronic timepiece.

In contrast to the claimed invention, the applied references do not disclose or suggest at least a first correcting section provided at a movement of an analog watch and a second correcting section provided at a circuit board that controls the two-way wireless communications device, wherein the first correcting section and the second correcting section are connected with each other for correcting a time indicated on the display when a time of the analog watch is corrected, the time indicated on the display is automatically corrected in synchronization with the correcting action. On the contrary, nowhere in the applied references are these features disclosed or suggested.


Thus, because the applied references fail to disclose these features, it would not have been obvious to combine the applied references to arrive at the claimed invention.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the claim are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Richard S. Elias
Registration No. 48,806

JAO:RSE/eks

Date: May 31, 2005

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

**DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE
AUTHORIZATION**

Please grant any extension
necessary for entry;

Charge any fee due to our
Deposit Account No. 15-0461